Old Company Name in Catalogs and Other Documents

On April 1st, 2010, NEC Electronics Corporation merged with Renesas Technology Corporation, and Renesas Electronics Corporation took over all the business of both companies. Therefore, although the old company name remains in this document, it is a valid Renesas Electronics document. We appreciate your understanding.

Renesas Electronics website: http://www.renesas.com

April 1st, 2010 Renesas Electronics Corporation

Issued by: Renesas Electronics Corporation (http://www.renesas.com)

Send any inquiries to http://www.renesas.com/inquiry.

HITACHI SEMICONDUCTOR TECHNICAL UPDATE

Classification of Production	Development Environment					No	TN-CSX-	038A/E
THEME	SuperH RISC engine C/C++ Cor Ver.7.0 bug report (2)	npiler	Classification of Information	$\frac{1}{2}$	Spec change4Change of MaskSupplement of5Change of Production LinDocuments5Change of Production LinLimitation of Use5			
		Lot No.					Rev.	Effective Date
PRODUCT NAME	SH-1,SH-2,SH-2E, SH2-DSP,SH-3, SH3-DSP,SH-4	All	Reference Documents		_		1	Eternity

Attached is the description of the known bugs in Ver. 7.0 of the SuperH RISC engine C/C++ compiler. Inform the customers who have the package version in the table below of the bugs.

	Package version	Compiler version
	7.0B	7.0B
P0700CAS7-MWR	7.0.01	7.0.03
	7.0.02	7.0.04
	7.0B	7.0B
P0700CAS7-SLR	7.0.02	7.0.03
	7.0.03	7.0.04
	7.0B	7.0B
P0700CAS7-H7R	7.0.02	7.0.03
	7.0.03	7.0.04

The checker of the bugs is on the URL below for downloading.

http://www.hitachisemiconductor.com/sic/jsp/japan/eng/products/mpumcu/tool/download/caution7002.html

Attached: P0700CAS7-020514E

SuperH RISC engine C/C++ Compiler Ver. 7 Known bugs in this release (2)

SuperH RISC engine C/C++ compiler Ver.7 Known bugs in this release (2)

The known bugs in this release of the compiler are described below. Instances of those bugs in the program except those of item 4, 7, 9, and 12 can be found using the checker on the URL below.

http://www.hitachisemiconductor.com/sic/jsp/japan/eng/products/mpumcu/tool/download/caution7002. html

1. Illegal deletion of the save/restoration of PR register

When the following program is compiled with the speed option, the saving and restoring code of PR register may be illegally deleted.

```
[Example]
int x;
extern void f1();
extern void f2();
void f() {
    if (x == 2){
                     // The then-clause ends with a function call
        f1();
    }
    f2();
                      // The function end with a function call
    return;
}
_f:
          MOV.L
                       L14,R6
                                  ; _x
                       @R6,R0
          MOV.L
          CMP/EQ
                       #2,R0
          BT
                       L11
L12:
          MOV.L
                                 ; _f2
                       L14+4,R2
                       @R2
                                  ; The function ends with a function call,
          JMP
                                  ; so JSR changed into JMP and RTS is deleted
          NOP
L11:
          MOV.L
                       L14+8,R2
                                 ; _f1
                                  ; Saving and restoring code of PR register is
          JSR
                       @R2
                                  ; deleted though a function call exists
          NOP
                       L12
          BRA
          NOP
```

[Condition]

- (1) The speed option is specified.
- (2) The function ends with a function call.
- (3) The if-then clause exists before the function call of (2), and the last statement of then-clause is function call.
- (4) The function call is not in-line expanded.

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

```
(1) Specify the nospeed or size option.
(2) Modify the source program as shown in the example below.
[Example]
#include <machine.h> // for nop()
void f() {
    if (x == 2) {
      f1();
    }
    f2();
    nop(); // add nop() before the return statement
    return;
}
```

2. Illegal reference of T-bit in SR register

In the following case, conditional branch may be illegally done.

(1) When following program is compiled:

```
[Example]
```

```
#include <machine.h>
extern void f();
int a;
void func() {
    int b;
    b = (a == 0);
                      // Sets the result of comparison to variable b
    f();
                      // Exists function call or set_cr()
    if (b) {
                      // Compares variable b with 0 or 1
        a=1;
    }
}
_func:
          STS.L
                      PR,@-R15
          MOV.L
                      L13,R6
                                 ; _a
          MOV.L
                      @R6,R2
          TST
                      R2,R2
                                 ; Sets the result of comparison to T-bit
          MOV.L
                      L13+4,R2
                                 ; _f
          JSR
                      @R2
                                  ; T-bit may be changed in the callee
                                  ; function
          NOP
                                 ; Refers to T-bit and branch
          BF
                      L12
          MOV.L
                      L13,R6
                                  ; _a
          MOV
                      #1,R2
          MOV.L
                      R2,@R6
L12:
          LDS.L
                      @R15+,PR
          RTS
          NOP
```

(2) When the class with destructor call which is declared in a local block in a function is written in the C++ program

This problem may occur when either (1) to (3) or (4) to (5) conditions are satisfied.

<for C program>

(1) The optimize=1 option is specified.

(2) A result of a comparison is set to a variable.

(3) The variable of (2) is compared with 0 or 1 after a function call or set_cr().

or

<for C++ program>

(4) The optimize=1 option is specified.

(5) The class with destructor call which is declared in a local block in a function is written in the C++ program

[How to avoid the bug]

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

<for C program>

(1) Specify the optimize=0 option.

(2) Qualify the variable to store the result of a comparison as volatile.

(3) Modify the source program as shown in the example below.

<for C++ program>

Specify the optimize=0 option.

3. Illegal unification of constant values

When following program is compiled with the optimize=1 option, the constant values may be illegally unified.

```
[Example]
```

_func:	
--------	--

MOV.W	L15,R6	; H'8000 set R6 to 0xFFFF8000
MOV	#4,R5	
MOV	#64,R2	
SHLL8	R5	
SHLL8	R2	
MOV.W	R6,@R5	; (A) set variable a to 0x8000
MOV.W	R6,@R2	; (A') set variable b to 0x8000
MOV.W	@(2,R5),R0	
EXTU.W	R0,R2	
ADD	R6,R2	; set R2 to (c+0xFFFF8000)
MOV	R2,R6	
MOV.L	L15+4,R2	; _d
RTS		
MOV.L	R6,@R2	; (B) set variable d to (c+0xFFFF8000)
		; (c+0x00008000) is correct

This problem may occur when all of the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) The optimize=1 option is specified.
- (2) The same value from 128 to 255 or from 32768 to 65535 is used more than once in the function.
- (3) The value of (2) is used with different sizes.

For above example, (A) and (A') are used as a 2-byte value and (B) is used as a 4-byte value.

[How to avoid the bug]

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

(1) Specify the optimize=0 option.

(2) Modify the source program as shown in the example below.

[Example]

4. Illegal generation of a literal pool

When a program is compiled with the align16 option, the reference to a literal pool may be illegal. When both code=machinecode and goptimize are specified, the error may occur at linkage. When code=machinecode is specified, an illegal object code may be created at compilation. When code=asmcode is specified, the error may occur in assembling.

[Example]

	:			
	MOV.L	L154+2,R2	; (1) L158 refers to literal (A)	
	MOV.L	R2,@R15		
	MOV.L	L154+6,R2	; (2) _printf refers to literal (B)
	JSR	@R2		
	NOP			
	:			
	.ALIGN	16		
L86:				
	ADD	#1,R2		
	BRA	L153	; unconditional branch is created a	ind
	MOV.L	R2,@R4	; a literal pool is generated	
L154:				
	.RES.W	1		
	.DATA.L	L158	; (A) literal which cannot be reach	ned
			; from (1)	
	.DATA.L	_printf	; (B) literal which cannot be reach	ned
			; from (2)	
	.ALIGN	16		
L153:				

[Condition]

This problem may occur when all of the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) The align16 option is specified.

:

(2) An unconditional branch is created and a literal pool is generated.

[How to avoid the bug]

The bug can be avoided with the following method.

(1) Do not specify the align16 option.

5. Illegal code motion to a delay slot

When a program is compiled with the optimize=1 option, an instruction may be illegally moved to a delay slot.

[Example]

<befo< th=""><th>ore></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></befo<>	ore>				
		:			
	SHLL		R2		
	MOV		R2,R0		
	MOVA		L88,R0		
	BRA		L144		
	NOP				
		:			
<after< td=""><td>r></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></after<>	r>				
		:			
	SHLL		R2		
				;	instruction is moved to a delay slot
	MOVA		L88,R0	;	set RO
	BRA		L144		
	MOV		R2,R0	;	destroy RO

This problem may occur when the following condition is satisfied.

(1) The same register is updated consequtively by more than one instruction.

[How to avoid the bug]

The bug can be avoided with the following method.

(1) Specify the optimize=0 option.

:

6. Illegal offset of a GBR-relative logical operation

When a program is compiled with the optimize=1 option and the compiler creates a GBR-relative logical operation to a 1-byte struct member, the offset may be illegal.

```
[Example]
```

```
struct {
    int a;
    unsigned char b;
} ST;
char c;
void f() {
    ST.b |= 1;
    c &= 1;
}
_f:
        STC
                    GBR,@-R15
                    #0,R0
                               ; H'0000000
        MOV
                    R0,GBR
        LDC
                                ; H'0000008+_ST <- (ST+4) is correct
        MOV.L
                    L11+2,R0
        OR.B
                    #1,@(R0,GBR)
        MOV.L
                    L11+6,R0
                               ; _c
                    #1,@(R0,GBR)
        AND.B
        RTS
        LDC
                    @R15+,GBR
```

[Condition]

- (1) The optimize=1 option is specified.
- (2) Either the gbr=user option is specified and #pragma gbr_base/gbr_base1 is used, or the gbr=auto option is specified and the map option is not specified.
- (3) A global struct with a 1-byte member exists in a program.
- (4) This 1-byte member is not located at the top of the struct.
- (5) This member is used for logical operation in a function.
- (6) This member is not used except (5).
- (7) A global variable except this member exists in a function.

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

```
(1) Specify the optimize=0 option.
(2) Modify the source program as shown in the example below.
[Example]
struct {
    int a;
    unsigned char b;
} ST;
char c;
unsigned char temp; // for reference
void f() {
    ST.b |= 1;
    c &= 1;
    temp = ST.b; // adds a reference to ST.b
}
```

7. Illegal EXTU after SWAP instruction

When a program is compiled with the optimize=1 option and a pointer is used to store the return value of swapb, swapw, or end_cnvl intrinsic function, EXTU may be illegally created after the SWAP instruction.

```
[Example]
#include <machine.h>
unsigned short *a,*b;
void func() {
    *b=swapb(*a);
}
_func:
      MOV.L L13+2,R2 ; _a
      MOV.L
               L13+6,R5 ; _b
      MOV.L
                @R2,R6
      MOV.W
               @R6,R2
      SWAP.B
                R2,R6
      MOV.L
               @R5,R2
      EXTU.B
                R6,R6
                       ; The result of SWAP instruction is illegally expanded
       RTS
       MOV.W
                R6,@R2
```

[Condition]

- (1) The optimize=1 option is specified.
- (2) A swapb, swapw, or end_cnvl intrinsic function is used.
- (3) A pointer is used to store the return value of this intrinsic function.

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

```
(1) Specify the optimize=0 option.
(2) Modify the source program as shown in the example below.
[Example]
  void func() {
    unsigned short temp;
    temp=swapb(*a);
    *b=temp;
 }
```

8. Illegal bitfield data

When an initial value is set to a struct with an anonymous bitfield, the initial value may be illegal. [Example]

```
struct st {
    short a:4;
    short b;
    short :12; // anonymous bitfield
    short c:4;
} ST={1,1,3};
```

_ST:

.DATA.W	н'1000	
.DATA.W	н'0001	
.DATAB.B	1,0	; ".DATA.W H'0003"
.DATA.W	н'0300	; is correct.

[Condition]

This problem may occur when all of the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) A struct has a bitfield, an anonymous bitfield, and a member which is not a bitfield, and they are defined in the order shown below.

```
struct A {
    :
    bitfield
    :
    member which is not bitfield
    anonymous bitfield // (A)
    bitfield // (B)
    :
  }
(2) The size of the underlying type of (A) and (B) is 2-byte or 4-byte.
(3) The bitwidth of (B) is 8-bit or more.
(4) The total bitwidth size of (A) and (B) is below.
  (a) When the sizes of the underlying type of (A) and (B) is 2-byte : 16-bit or less
```

(b) When the sizes of the underlying type of (A) and (B) is 4-byte : 32-bit or less

(5) The struct declared with an initial value.

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

(1) Change the anonymous bitfield into one with a name (e.g. dummy).

```
[Example]
struct st {
    short a:4;
    short b;
    short dummy:12; // dummy
    short c:4;
} ST = {1,1,0,3};
```

9. Illegal loop expansion

When the speed option or the loop option is specified, a loop conditional expression may be illegally replaced.

[Example]

```
int a[100];
void main(int n) {
    int i;
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {</pre>
        a[i] = 0;
    }
}
_main:
          MOV
                      R4,R7
                      #-1,R4 ; When a value of R4 is 0x80000000, underflow occurs
          ADD
                               ; and R4 will have 0x7FFFFFFF.
          MOV
                      R4,R6
          CMP/PL
                      R4
                               ; The result of the comparison is incorrect.
          MOV
                      #0,R4
                      L12
          BF
          ADD
                      #-1,R6
                  :
```

[Condition]

This problem may occur when all of the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) The speed option or the loop option is specified.
- (2) A loop statement is used in a function.
- (3) The loop upper bound is in the range shown below.
 - (a) When the loop control variable, say i, is incremented like i += step, the value is in the range from 0x80000000 to 0x80000000+step-1
 - (b) When the loop control variable, say i, is decremented like i -= step, the value is in the range from 0x7FFFFFFF to 0x7FFFFFFF-step+1

When loop upper bound is in a variable and its value is in the range above, the behavior may be incorrect.

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

- (1) Neither the speed option nor the loop option is specified.
- (2) The noloop option is specified.

10. Illegal reference to a struct or an array parameter

When a struct, a union or an array is used in a parameter of a function and this parameter is referred to in the function, the address to refer to this parameter may be illegal.

```
[Example]
typedef struct{
    int A[10];
    double B;
    char F[20];
} ST;
extern ST f(ST a,ST b);
ST S;
extern int X;
void func(ST a,ST b) {
    ST t;
    if (a.B!=f(S,t).B)
        X++;
    }
    if (a.B!=b.B){
        X++;
    }
}
                  :
L12:
                    R15,R2
          MOV
                    L15+2,R0 ; H'014C
          MOV.W
          ADD
                    R0,R2
          MOV
                    R15,R0
                    L15+4,R0 ; H'0190 R0 is destroyed illegally.
          MOV.W
          ADD
                    R0,R0
          MOV.L
                    @R2,R4
          MOV.L
                    @(4,R2),R7
          MOV
                    R0,R2
          MOV.L
                    @R2,R6
                            ; An address which b.B is not located is accessed.
                 :
```

[Condition]

- (1) A function has a struct, a union or an array parameter.
- (2) This parameter is referred to in the function.

The bug can be avoided with the following method.

```
(1) Change a parameter into pointer.
[Example]
void func(ST *a,ST *b) {
    ST t;
    if (a->B!=f(S,t).B){
        X++;
    }
    if (a->B!=b->B){
        X++;
    }
}
```

```
11. Illegal deletion of a JMP instruction
```

When the speed option is specified, a JMP instruction may be deleted. [Example]

```
void f(){
    int i,j=0;
    for (i=0; i<10; i++)
        if (j%2) j++;
    sub();
}
void sub() {
    :
}
_f:
                   :
L20:
                        #-1,R5
          ADD
          TST
                       R5,R5
          BF
                       L11
          MOV.L
                       L23,R2
                                  ; _sub
                                           These instructions are deleted.
          JMP
                        @R2
                                  ;
                                                          NOP
                                  ;
                                                          V
L18:
          MOV
                        R6,R0
                        #1,R0
          AND
                        L16
          BRA
                       R0,R2
          MOV
L13:
          MOV
                        R6,R0
                        #1,R0
          AND
          BRA
                       L14
          MOV
                       R0,R2
_sub:
                    :
```

This problem may occur when all of the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) The speed option is specified.
- (2) The function ends with a function call.
- (3) This function call is not in-line expanded.
- (4) The definition of the callee function follows that of the caller function.
- (5) A loop statement or a conditional statement is used in the caller function.

[How to avoid the bug]

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

- (1) Specify the nospeed or size option.
- (2) Change the location of the function definitions so that the callee function does not follow the caller function.

(3) Add the nop intrinsic function at the tail of the caller function.

```
[Example]
```

```
#include <machine.h> // for nop
void f(){
    int i,j=0;
    for (i=0; i<10; i++)
        if (j%2) j++;
        sub();
        nop(); // adds
}</pre>
```

12. Illegal loop expansion

When the following program is compiled with the optimize=1 option, a loop conditional expression may be illegally replaced.

[Example]

```
void f1()
{
    int i;
    for (i=-1; i<INT_MAX; i++) {</pre>
        a[i] = 0;
    }
}
_f1:
           MOV.L
                       L13,R2
                                  ; _a
                       #−4,R6
                                   ; H'FFFFFFC
          MOV
                       R6,R5
          MOV
                                  ; H'0000000
                       #0,R4
          MOV
           ADD
                       #-4,R2
L11:
          ADD
                       #4,R6
                       R4,@R2
          MOV.L
                       R5,R6
                                   ; compare with H'FFFFFFFC
           CMP/GE
                       #4,R2
          ADD
                       L11
           BF
           RTS
           NOP
```

This problem may occur when all of the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) The optimize=1 option is specified.
- (2) A loop statement is used in the function.
- (3) The result of (loop upper bound loop lower bound) overflows. For example : for(i=-1; i<0x7FFFFFF; i++)

[How to avoid the bug]

The bug can be avoided with either method of the following.

- (1) Specify the optimize=0 option.
- (2) Split a loop as shown in the example below.